

# Effects of Learning on Neural Representations of Rhythm and Beat

Joshua D. Hoddinott<sup>1</sup> & Jessica A. Grahn<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Brain and Mind Institute, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada



#### Background

- Humans spontaneously synchronize to the beat in rhythms.<sup>1</sup>
- Strong-beat rhythms elicit increased activity in the basal ganglia and SMA.<sup>1</sup>
- Activity for non-beat rhythms likely related to general temporal encoding.

Experiment 1 - Neural Representations of Rhythm and Beat (MVPA): Do SMA and basal ganglia encode individual rhythms, or beat strength? Hypothesis

Beat-sensitive areas encode the beat via spatial activity patterns, with highest dissimilarity between patterns with the largest difference in beat strength.

- Multivariate analyses reveal whether *fine-grained spatial activity patterns* are 'tuned' to beat strength.
- We hear music daily; beat responses may actually reflect  $\uparrow$  exposure to rhythm.

#### Experiment 2 (to be conducted) - Effects of Learning on Rhythm Representation: What influence does exposure have on neural rhythm and beat encoding? Hypothesis

Training will cause any exposure-sensitive regions to reduce in dissimilarity between beat strength conditions when all rhythms are learned.



# Regions

- Anatomically-defined regions of interest.
- Whole-brain searchlight.

# Procedure

- Rhythm Discrimination Task.
- 8 Blocks of 24 trials each.
- 7T MRI Scanning.
- Analyze activity during 'Listen' stages

### Representational Model Fitting







SMA

First Listen

Second

Listen

Target

Rhythm







# Exp. 2 Planned Analysis

Prediction: Regions encoding beat strength will maintain dissimilarity between conditions from pre- to post-training.

Prediction: Regions encoding learned predictions will decrease dissimilarity between conditions from pre- to post-training.



Counterevidence<sup>2</sup>

Hierarchy

Equal

**Pre-Training Post-Training**  **Pre-Training** 

#### **Post-Training**

### Exp. 1: Motor and Association Regions Encode the Beat

Dissimilarity

Patter

Activity patterns in SMA and putamen sensitive to beat strength.

Across the brain, beat strength encoded in frontal and parietal regions.



 Significant dissimilarity between strong- and non-beat activity patterns. • Beat counter-evidence model most correlated with neural representations. Dissimilar between-condition patterns in frontal, premotor, parietal, and cerebellum.

• Beat strength models most correlated in cortical regions; tempo model in cerebellum.

#### Discussion

### Exp. 1 - Neural Representations of Rhythm and Beat:

- Bilateral SMA and putamen are sensitive to beat strength, confirming previous findings.
- Beat strength encoded at the individual rhythm level.
  - Greater differences in beat strength = more dissimilar activity patterns.
- IFG and parietal regions appear to encode the beat.
- IFG may reflect attention allocation greater attention with more irregularity.
- IPL may facilitate cross-talk between auditory and motor regions.<sup>4</sup>

### Exp. 2 (to be conducted) - Effects of Learning on Rhythm Representation:

- Will reveal role of exposure in rhythm encoding.
- Additional questions:
  - Musicians (5+ yrs. music training) vs. non-musicians (0-2 yrs.).
  - Relationship between tapping accuracy and neural representations.
- Data collection ongoing.

NSERC Western 🗑 BrainsCAN Dynamics of Brain and Behaviou CRSNG

<sup>1</sup>Grahn, J.A., & Brett, M. (2007). Rhythm and beat perception in motor areas of the brain. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 19(5), 893-906 <sup>2</sup>Povel, D.J., & Essens, P. (1985). Perception of temporal patterns. *Music Perception, 2*(4), 411-440. <sup>3</sup>Diedrichsen J, Zareamoghaddam H, Provost S. (2016). The distribution of cross-validated Mahalanobis distances. ArXiv. <sup>4</sup>Patel, A. d., & Iversen, J. R. (2014). The evolutionary neuroscience of musical beat perception: the Action Simulation for Auditory Prediction (ASAP) hypothesis. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 8. doi:10.3389/fnsys.2014.00057